Numerical Algorithms for Visual Computing II

Michael Breuß and Pascal Peter Released: 30.11.2010 Assigned to: Tutorial at 10.12.2010

Assignment 4 (5 Exercises) – The Öi sheet Orderings, Errors, Invariance

Exercise No. 1 - Matrix and Matrix Reloaded

Consider the method (5.3) for the approximation of the BVP for the *Poisson equation*. Let two *linear orderings* of 16 computational nodes be given as indicated by the following tables:

(a)	1	3	6	10	(b)	1	12	16	10
	2	5	9	13		6	5	14	2
	4	8	12	15		4	8	9	15
	7	11	14	16		13	7	3	11

Determine the arising linear systems for the standard 5-point discretisation of the Laplace operator.

(3+3=6 pts)

Exercise No. 2 – Derivative Cross-Over

Consider the following discretisation of the cross derivative u_{xy} :

$$(u_{xy_C})_{i,j} := \frac{1}{4h^2} (U_{i+1,j+1} - U_{i-1,j+1} - U_{i+1,j-1} + U_{i-1,j-1})$$

- 1. Determine the local truncation error. (2 pts)
- 2. Is this discretisation isotropic or anisotropic? (4 pts)

Exercise No. 3 – Sobel Operator: Condition and Precision

The Sobel operator is a special discretisation of the first order derivative u_x :

$$(u_{x_{\text{Sobel}}})_{i,j} := \frac{1}{4} \begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\1 \end{bmatrix} * \frac{u_{i+1,j} - u_{i-1,j}}{2h}$$

As indicated, it is derived from the central difference approximation, convolving it orthogonally to the derivative direction with a small discrete Gaussian.

- 1. Determine the local truncation error. (2 pts)
- 2. Is this discretisation isotropic or anisotropic? (4 pts)

Exercise No. 4 – Is this stencil $good^{TM}$ or $evil^{TM}$?

Consider the following stencil for the discretisation of the Laplace operator:

$$\frac{1}{4h^2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1\\ 0 & -4 & 0\\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- 1. Compute the local truncation error.
- 2. For discretising the Poisson equation, is this a method a good choice or not? Give a discussion. (4 pts)

(2 pts)

Exercise No. 5 – Is this stencil $good^{TM}$ or $evil^{TM}$?

Consider the following stencil for the discretisation of the Laplace operator:

$$\alpha \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 & 1 \\ 4 & -20 & 4 \\ 1 & 4 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- 1. Determine the weight α needed in order to have a *consistent* discretisation, and compute for this case the local truncation error. (3 pts)
- For discretising the Poisson equation, is this a method a good choice or not? Give a discussion. (3 pts)



2