Numerical Algorithms for Visual Computing III: Optimisation

Michael Breuß and Kai Uwe Hagenburg

Released: 27.05.2011 Assigned to: Tutorial at 09.06.2011

Assignment 4 – Practical Assignments

Exercise No. 1 – Comparison of Gradient Descent methods (3+3+3=9 points)

Consider the following already discretised 1-D energy functional:

$$E_f(u) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N} (u_k - f_k)^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \sum_{l \in \mathcal{N}(k)} (\frac{u_k - u_l}{\Delta x})^2,$$
(1)

with $\mathcal{N}(k)$ being the neighborhood around pixel u_k together with the signal

$$f(k) = 20 * sin(k) + 20 \qquad k = 0, \dots, 19.$$
(2)

- (a) Compute the gradient $\nabla E_f(u)$ and the Hessian matrix $HE_f(u)$.
- (b) Implement the method of 'Gradient Descent Line Search' (GDLS) for the minimisation of $E_f(u)$ with the use of the backtracking line search algorithm. What is an appropriate stopping criterion for the algorithm? Is your result an optimal solution for the minimisation problem? Discuss.
- (c) Implement Newton's method (without stepsize control) for the minimisation of $E_f(u)$. What differences occur in comparison to the GDLS algorithm? Do you get the same results? Elaborate.

Exercise No. 2 – Playing with the banana (4+4+4=12 points)

For testing optimisation algorithms, there exist several testing functions to test speed and convergence of the algorithms. We are going to have a look at two such functions. The first is Rosenbrock's banana function

$$f(x,y) = (1-x)^2 + 100(y-x^2)^2,$$

the second is the Bazaraa-Shetty function

$$f(x,y) = (x-2)^4 + (x-2y)^2.$$

All three functions have each a global minimum .For that task, you should implement and compare the results of

- (a) Gradient Descent with backtracking line search (GDLS)
- (b) Newton's method without step size control
- (c) Trust Region method (also give a good scaling strategy for the choice of α)

with each other concerning speed and proximity to the correct solution. You may use as a starting point for each problem the position $(-1.2, 1)^{\top}$.

Exercise No. 3 – Descending Into The Abyss (2+2+2+3=9 points)

- (a) Given is the 1D-problem $f(x) = x^2$. Try to find the global minimum with a gradient descend method and a fixed step size $\gamma = 1$. Explain your results!
- (b) Given is the 1D-problem $f(x) = x^4 + 3x^3 3x^2 7x + 6$. Determine the extreme points analytically. Implement Newton's method without stepsize control for that problem and use different starting points for your algorithm. Does the result always end up in a global minimum?
- (c) Given is the 1D-problem $f(x) = ax \ln(x)$ for an arbitrary constant *a*. Devise an iterative scheme based on Newton's method. What is the global minimum of the function? Is this global minimum reachable for all starting points?
- (d) Given the function $f(x) = x \arctan(x) \frac{1}{2}\log(x^2 + 1)$. Try to find the Minimum of the function by use of Newton's method with varying starting points 1 and 1.5. What is your result? Can you elaborate on the problem? To this end, try to consider analysing $f'(x) = \arctan(x)$ and sketch the 3 general possible gradient directions!

Exercise No. 4 – Watch your step! (10 extra points)

In the lecture we have only given a simple method for choosing the time step size. In the following we will consider one step size method by Armijo. The idea of Armijos method is to compute a step size σ_A such that for a given $\beta \in]0, 1[$ the following conditions hold:

$$f(x + \sigma_A d) \leq f(x) + \beta \sigma_A (\nabla f(x))^\top d$$
(3)

$$\sigma_a \geq -c \frac{(\nabla f(x))^{\top} d}{\|d\|^2} \tag{4}$$

with d being a search direction, c a constant and $(\nabla f(x))^{\top} d < 0$. Then the Armijo method is as follows: Given constants $0 < \sigma < 1$ $0 < \gamma$ and $0 < \beta_1 \le \beta_2 < 1$.

- 1. Choose a starting step size $\sigma_0 \ge -\gamma \frac{(\nabla f(x))^\top d}{\|d\|^2}$ and set j = 0.
- 2. If condition (3) is fulfilled, i.e. $f(x + \sigma_J d) \leq f(x) + \beta \sigma_j (\nabla f(x))^\top d$ then set $\sigma_j = \sigma_A$ and stop.
- 3. Else choose $\sigma_{j+1} \in [\beta_1 \sigma_j, \beta_2 \sigma_j]$.
- 4. j := j + 1 and go to 2.

Extend your implementation for Newton's method from exercise 1 for the banana function and elaborate on the results.