Numerical Algorithms for Visual Computing III: Optimisation

Michael Breuß and Kai Uwe Hagenburg

Released: 06.05.2011 **Assigned to:** Tutorial at 12.05.2009

Assignment 2 – Variations of Two Problems

Exercise No. 1 – Tortellini and Ambrosia (20 points)

We proudly introduce in this exercise the *Tortellini-Ambrosia (TA) model* for 1-D signal segmentation.

It is constituted as to find a minimiser (u, v) of the energy functional

$$E_{TA}(u,v) = \int_{a}^{b} \beta \left(u-f\right)^{2} + v^{2} \left(u'\right)^{2} + \alpha \left(\gamma \left(v'\right)^{2} + \frac{\left(1-v\right)^{2}}{4\gamma}\right) dx$$

where

- *u* is the simplified signal
- v is a jump detector with $v \approx 0$ at jumps and $v \approx 1$ in smooth signal regions
- α , β and γ are positive, real-valued parameters

The tasks are as follows:

1. Compute the Euler-Lagrange equation for this model.	(2 pts)
--	---------

- 2. Set $w := (u, v)^{\top}$ and compute $\nabla_w E_{TA}$. (2 pts)
- 3. Is there a unique minimiser of the Tortellini-Ambrosia model? Elaborate on this question. (4 pts) Hint: Consider the E-L equation from part (1). Neglect all derivatives for v and try to construct a function $v(|u_x|)$. Plug this into a modified version of $E_{TA}(u, v)$ and consider the resulting regulariser!
- 4. Discretise the Euler-Lagrange equation(s) using a spatial grid with mesh width h. Also, make use of

$$\phi''(x_j) \approx \frac{\phi_{j+1} - 2\phi_j + \phi_{j-1}}{h^2}$$

if applicable for some function $\phi \in \{u, v\}$. Write down your discretisation. (2 pts)

- 5. Write down an iterative scheme for solving the Euler-Lagrange equations. (2 pts)
- 6. Discretise E_{TA} and compute the necessary condition for a minimiser. (6 pts)
- 7. Write down an iterative scheme for solving the latter system. (2 pts)

Exercise No. 2 – The Problem of Nail and GrandsonmanTM (6 + 4 = 10 **points**)

Let us consider an image sequence f(x, y, t), where (x, y) denotes a pixel position in the image domain $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and a time parameter $t \in [0, T]$. The goal is to find a optic flow field (u(x, y, t), v(x, y, t)) that describes a displacement vector between two subsequent frames t and t + 1. In the following, we will abbreviate $u \equiv u(x, y, t)$ and $v \equiv v(x, y, t)$ respectively. Furthermore, we consider the assumption that the brightness of pixels do not change between two pixels, i.e. the so-called greyvalue constancy assumption, which is given as

$$f(x, y, t) - f(x + u, y + v, t + 1) \stackrel{!}{=} 0.$$

By performing a first-order Taylor expansion and neglecting higher order terms, we arrive at the linearised optic flow constraint

$$f_x u + f_y v + f_t \stackrel{!}{=} 0$$

Furthermore, we want to minimise deviations from this constraint with an additional smoothness assumption. From this we can model a variational description of the optic flow problem as

$$E(u,v) = \int_{\Omega} ((f_x u + f_y v + f_t)^2 + \alpha V(\nabla f, \nabla u, \nabla v)) \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}y$$

with $V(\nabla f, \nabla u, \nabla v)$ being a regulariser and $\alpha > 1$ a regularisation parameter. Nagel proposed a regulariser of the form

$$V(\nabla f, \nabla u, \nabla v) \quad := \quad \nabla u^{\top} D(\nabla f) \nabla u + \nabla v^{\top} D(\nabla f) \nabla v$$

with $D(\nabla f)$ being a regularised projection matrix on $\nabla f^{\perp} = (f_y, -f_x)^{\top}$ defined as

$$D(\nabla f) := \frac{1}{|\nabla f|^2 + 2\lambda^2} (\nabla f^{\perp} \nabla f^{\perp \top} + \lambda^2 f)$$

and λ being a contrast parameter.

- 1. Derive the Euler-Lagrange equation with Nagel's regulariser!
- 2. What happens if $D(\nabla f)$ is the identity matrix *I*?