Mathematical Foundations of Computer Vision

Example Solutions – Assignment 5

Solution of Exercise No. 1

Is $S = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ with

$$v_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1\\5\\4 \end{pmatrix}$$
, $v_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -2\\-1\\1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $v_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1\\3\\2 \end{pmatrix}$

a generating system of \mathbb{R}^3 ? Give a verbal reasoning of what you compute. The basis of \mathbb{R}^3 is given by $\{\vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2, \vec{e}_3\}$. Can we find λ_1, λ_2 and λ_3 such that

$$\lambda_1 v_1 + \lambda_2 v_2 + \lambda_3 v_3 = \vec{e_i}$$

for i = 1, 2, 3? We have a look at

$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2 & 1\\ 5 & -1 & 3\\ 4 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}}_{=:A} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1\\ \lambda_2\\ \lambda_3 \end{pmatrix} = \vec{e_i}.$$

A solution λ exists if and only if the matrix A is regular. It is then given by

$$\lambda = A^{-1} \cdot \vec{e_i}$$

Here:

$$det(A) = det \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 3\\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} + 2 \cdot det \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 3\\ 4 & 2 \end{pmatrix} + det \begin{pmatrix} 5 & -1\\ 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

Thus the matrix A is not regular and the given set S is therefore not a generating system of \mathbb{R}^3 .

Solution of Exercise No. 2

Determine a basis for the space of solutions and its dimension for

$2x_1$	+	x_2	+	$3x_3$	=	0
x_1			+	$5x_3$	=	0
		x_2	+	x_3	=	0

The system is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 1 & 0 & 5 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \Leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & -1 & 7 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \Leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & -1 & 7 \\ 0 & 0 & 8 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \Leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

A basis for the space of solutions is $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ and its dimension is by definition 0.

Is the following set $S = \{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$ a generating system or a basis or nothing from these two, of the \mathbb{R}^2 ?

$$u_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$$
, $u_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$ and $u_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 7 \end{pmatrix}$

The set is a generating system of the \mathbb{R}^2 because

$$u_1 - \frac{2}{3}u_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1\\0 \end{pmatrix} \land \frac{1}{3}u_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The set is not a basis of \mathbb{R}^2 because its members are not linearly independent. It holds

$$2u_1 - u_2 + u_3 = 0.$$

Solution of Exercise No. 3

(a) Let

$$Bx = b$$
 with $B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -2 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$, $b = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix}$

Determine a basis of the kernel of B.

The kernel of B is the space of solutions of the homogeneous system Bx = 0.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -2 & -2 \end{pmatrix} x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \Leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \Leftrightarrow x = \alpha \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} x$$

A basis of the kernel of *B* is given by $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$.

Determine a particular solution x_0 of Bx = b.

$$Bx = b \iff \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -2 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix} \iff \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \iff \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 1 - \alpha \end{pmatrix}$$

A particular solution x_0 of Bx = b is given by $x_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Give a geometrical interpretation of kernel, x_0 and x.

The geometrical interpretations are in the setting above become obvious when plotting kernel, x_0 and x in the domain of x - y-coordinates:

- The kernel is a mapping that always includes the zero point, i.e. the origin. In our case it is a linear function with slope -1 in the x y-domain.
- The particular solution x_0 is a point which is a member of the set of all possible solutions of the underlying system.
- The set of solutions x is given by translating the kernel in such a way that the particular solution is included. In our case we obtain a linear function that runs through the point x_0 .

(b) Now, let

$$C = \left(\begin{array}{rrrr} 1 & 2 & -1 & 2 \\ 3 & 5 & 0 & 4 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 0 \end{array}\right)$$

Compute bases for row space and kernel of C.

We compute the kernel of C:

$$Cx = \vec{0} \iff \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 & 2 \\ 3 & 5 & 0 & 4 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} x = \vec{0} \iff \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -3 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} x = \vec{0}.$$

Set $x_4 = \alpha$, $x_3 = \beta$. Then

$$x_2 = 3x_3 - 2x_4 = 3\beta - 2\alpha$$

$$x_1 = -2x_2 + x_3 - 2x_4 = -5\beta + 2\alpha.$$

Thus a basis of the kernel of C is

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 2\\-2\\0\\1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -5\\3\\1\\0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

We compute a basis of the row space of C:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 & 2 \\ 3 & 5 & 0 & 4 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -3 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

A basis of the row space of C is $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ -3 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$

(c) Verify at hand of C: The kernel and the row space of a matrix are orthogonal complements. We check if

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2\alpha - 5\beta \\ -2\alpha + 3\beta \\ \beta \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix} \perp \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ 2\gamma + \delta \\ -\gamma - 3\delta \\ 2\gamma + 2\delta \end{pmatrix}$$

holds for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Indeed the kernel and row space of C are orthogonal, because

$$(2\alpha - 5\beta) \cdot (\gamma) + (-2\alpha + 3\beta) \cdot (2\gamma + \delta) + \beta \cdot (-\gamma - 3\delta) + \alpha \cdot (2\gamma + 2\delta) = 0$$

is fulfilled.

(d) Prove $\operatorname{rank}(A) = \operatorname{rank}(A^{\top})$.

Let $k := \max(m, n)$ where the latter define the size of a given matrix A. Then it is clear by definition that

$$\dim_C A + \dim_K A = k$$

where the lower indices denote the column space and the kernel, respectively.

By part (b) of this exercise, we can also infer that

$$\dim_R A + \dim_K A = k$$

where the lower index R denotes the row space. Since

$$\dim_R A = \dim_C A^\top$$

the assertion follows.

Solution of Exercise No. 4

Determine rank and defect, plus verify the Dimension Theorem for

$$D = \left(\begin{array}{rrr} 2 & 0 & -1 \\ 4 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right)$$

We consider the issues of importance:

• rank of D:

$$rank(D) = rank\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & -1\\ 4 & 0 & -2\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = rank\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & -1\\ 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 1$$

• defect of D:

$$Dx = 0 \Leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & -1 \\ 4 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \Leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\Leftrightarrow x = \alpha \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \beta \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$$

Thus the defect of D is 2.

• The dimension theorem is fulfilled:

$$rank(A) + def(A) = n \iff 1 + 2 = 3.$$

Solution of Exercise No. 5

(a) Determine for the following matrices the eigenvalues, their algebraic multiplicities, and the dimension of the associated eigenspaces:

$$E_1 = I \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$$
, $E_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $E_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

• $E_1 = I \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$

- Eigenvalues: Compute zeroes of the characteristic polynomial

$$det(\lambda I - E_1) = det(\lambda I - I) = det((\lambda - 1)I) = (\lambda - 1)^n det(I) = (\lambda - 1)^n \stackrel{!}{=} 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_n = 1$$

.

- algebraic multiplicity of $\lambda = 1$: n
- dimension of the associated eigenspaces:
 - * for $\lambda = 1$:

$$(\lambda I - E_1)x = 0 \Leftrightarrow 0x = 0$$

 \Rightarrow eigenspace : $\{\vec{e}_1, \dots, \vec{e}_n\}$

 \Rightarrow the dimension of the associated eigenspace is n

- \Rightarrow algebraic and geometric multiplicity is the same
- $E_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

- eigenvalues:

$$det(\lambda I - E_2) = det \begin{pmatrix} \lambda - 1 & -1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix} = (\lambda - 1) \cdot \lambda \stackrel{!}{=} 0$$
$$\Rightarrow \lambda_1 = 1, \lambda_2 = 0.$$

– algebraic multiplicity of $\lambda = 1:1$

algebraic multiplicity of $\lambda = 0$: 1

- dimension of the associated eigenspaces:
 - * for $\lambda = 1$:

$$(1I - E_2)x = 0 \iff \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \iff \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{ eigenspace} : \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

 \Rightarrow the dimension of the associated eigenspace is 1

* for $\lambda = 0$:

$$(0I - E_2)x = 0 \iff \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{ eigenspace} : \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

 \Rightarrow the dimension of the associated eigenspace is 1

•
$$E_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- eigenvalues:

$$det(\lambda I - E_3) = det \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & -1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix} = \lambda^2 \stackrel{!}{=} 0$$
$$\Rightarrow \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$$

- algebraic multiplicity of $\lambda = 0:2$
- dimension of the associated eigenspaces:
 - * for $\lambda = 0$:

$$(0I - E_3)x = 0 \iff \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{ eigenspace} : \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

 \Rightarrow the dimension of the associated eigenspace is 1

What can you learn from these examples about the relation between regularity of a matrix and the dimension of its eigenspace?

- The total dimension of eigenspaces of a $n \times n$ -matrix must not be equal to n.
- The total dimension of the eigenspaces of a $n \times n$ -matrix does not depend on the regularity of the matrix (as E_2 and E_3 are not regular).

(b) Let

$$F_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -2 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix} , \quad F_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \\ -3 & 5 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Verify that F_1 and F_2 have the same eigenvalues with identical algebraic multiplicities.

• The characteristic polynomial of F_1 :

$$det(\lambda I - F_1) = det \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 & 2\\ -1 & \lambda - 2 & -1\\ -1 & 0 & \lambda - 3 \end{pmatrix} = \lambda \cdot (\lambda - 2) \cdot (\lambda - 3) + 2(\lambda - 2)$$
$$= \lambda^3 - 5\lambda^2 + 8\lambda - 4.$$

• The characteristic polynomial of F_2 :

$$det(\lambda I - F_2) = det \begin{pmatrix} \lambda - 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & \lambda - 2 & 0 \\ 3 & -5 & \lambda - 2 \end{pmatrix} = (\lambda - 1) \cdot (\lambda - 2) \cdot (\lambda - 2)$$
$$= (\lambda - 1) \cdot (\lambda^2 - 4\lambda + 4)$$
$$= \lambda^3 - 5\lambda^2 + 8\lambda - 4.$$

- The characteristic polynomial of F_1 and F_2 are identical. Thus both matrices have the same eigenvalues with the same algebraic multiplicities.
- The zero crossings of both characteristic polynomials are given by:

$$\lambda_1 = 1, \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = 2.$$

• algebraic multiplicity of $\lambda = 1:1$

algebraic multiplicity of $\lambda = 2$: 2.

Determine for F_1 and F_2 the bases of the eigenspaces.

For the matrix F_1 :

• for
$$\lambda = 1$$
:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix} x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \Leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \Leftrightarrow x = \alpha \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ mit } \alpha \neq 0.$$

associated eigenspace: $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 2\\ -1\\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$

• for $\lambda = 2$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 2 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \Leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
associated eigenspace:
$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

For the matrix F_2 :

• for $\lambda = 1$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 3 & -5 & -1 \end{pmatrix} x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

associated eigenspace:
$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} -1\\1\\-8 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

• for $\lambda = 2$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & -5 & 0 \end{pmatrix} x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & -5 & 0 \end{pmatrix} x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
associated eigenspace:
$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

Are they diagonalizable? Give a reasoning.

- The matrix F_1 is diagonalizable, because
 - for all eigenvalues, the geometric multiplicity is identical to the algebraic multiplicity
 - F_1 has *n* linearly independent eigenvectors.
- The matrix F_2 is not diagonalizable, because
 - for the eigenvalue $\lambda = 2$ the algebraic multiplicity is 2 and thus unequal to the geometric multiplicity, which is 1.

(*c*) *Let*

$$G = \left(\begin{array}{rrr} 4 & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 3 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 4 \end{array}\right)$$

Compute the eigenvalues of G.

• characteristic polynomial of G:

$$det(\lambda I - G) = det \begin{pmatrix} \lambda - 4 & 0 & -1 \\ -2 & \lambda - 3 & -2 \\ -1 & 0 & \lambda - 4 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= (\lambda - 4) \cdot (\lambda - 3) \cdot (\lambda - 4) - (\lambda - 3)$$
$$= (\lambda - 3) \cdot ((\lambda - 4)^2 - 1)$$
$$= (\lambda - 3) \cdot (\lambda^2 - 8\lambda + 15)$$
$$= (\lambda - 3) \cdot (\lambda - 3) \cdot (\lambda - 5).$$

• eigenvalues of G:

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 3, \lambda_3 = 5$$

For each eigenvalue λ , compute rank and defect of $\lambda I - G$.

For $\lambda = 3$:

• rank:

$$rank(3I - \lambda) = rank \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & -1 \\ -2 & 0 & -2 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = rank \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 1$$

• defect:

$$def(3I - \lambda) = def\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & -1\\ -2 & 0 & -2\\ -1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = def\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0\\ -2 & 0 & 0\\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 2$$

For $\lambda = 5$:

• rank:

$$rank(5I-G) = rank \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ -2 & 2 & -2 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = rank \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = rank \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 2.$$

• defect:

$$def(5I-G) = def\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ -2 & 2 & -2 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = def\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 2 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = def\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 1.$$

What can you infer by the result?

One can infer that rank and defect of $G - \lambda I$ can be a hint concerning the algebraic and geometric multiplicity of an eigenvalue λ .

Is G diagonalizable? Give a reasoning.

Since the rank of $G - \lambda I$ is one for $\lambda = 3$ which has algebraic multiplicity of 2, also the geometric multiplicity will be 2: As by the constituting equation for the rank of G - 3I, one sees that the defect def(G - 3I) gives us the number of free parameters in the solution of $G - 3I = \vec{0}$, i.e. it is the dimension of the kernel. Therefore G has a full set of eigenvectors and can be diagonalized.