## Smooth Local Histograms Filters

Micheal Kass and Justin Solomon

Yeara Kozlov

Saarland University

20 November 2012, MAIA Seminar

#### Overview

1 Motivation

2 Previous Work

Smooth Local Histograms

Smoothed Histogram Mode Filters

5 Sources

#### Motivation

#### Motivation

- An image histogram species how often a gray value appears within an image. It does not contain spatial information.
- ► Local histograms maps the tonal distribution within an image neighborhood.
- ► Used in many computer vision and image processions operations:
  - median filter
  - dilation (0%) and erosion (100%)
  - bilateral filter
  - mean shift
  - histogram equalization

Cons: Local histograms are expensive over large neighborhoods.

Naively implemented, the cost of construction is  $O(n^2 \cdot \log n)$  for sorted histograms, and  $O(n^2)$  for a binned histograms, where *n* is neighborhood size.

In this paper, the authors demonstrate a method for constructing local histogram in constant time regardless of neighborhood size.

#### Previous Work

## Accelerating the Median Filter

- Effort have been made by various people to accelerate local histograms:
  - ► Huang [1975] incrementally calculating histograms with O(n) for rectangular neighborhoods.
  - Weiss [2006] O (log (n))
  - ► Porikli [2005], Perreault and Herbert [2007] constant time.
  - Many other algorithms for accelerating various histogram-based filters.
- However, these algorithms are not isotropic, do not use a smoothed histogram and give rise halos, gradient reversal, and other artifacts.



Left to right: Pinwheel image, Photoshop Median Filter, Isotropic Equal Weight Median, Authors' Median Filter.

## Definition

The smooth local histogram can be thought of as a *kernel density estimator* (also called Parzen-Rosenblatt window estimator).

$$f_{p}(s) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} K\left(I_{q_{i}} - s\right)$$

- *K* is the smoothing kernel.
- ► *n* number of points in the neighborhood *p*.
- $q_i$  ranges over the neighborhood.
- $I_{q_i}$  is the intensity of the pixel  $q_i$ .
- ► s is the shift.

The kernel function k:

- ► Should not introduce new extrema in *f* when smoothing.
- ► If it is a unit-area box function this reduces to standard histogram binning.
- Usually *k* is chosen as a Gaussian.

# Locally Weighted Smooth Histogram

The smoothed locally weighted histogram is given by:

$$\hat{f}_{\rho}(\boldsymbol{s}) = \sum_{i} \mathcal{K} \left( I_{\boldsymbol{q}_{i}} - \boldsymbol{s} \right) W \left( \boldsymbol{p} - \boldsymbol{q}_{i} \right)$$
(1)

- W is a weighting function which is:
  - Positive
  - Has unit-sum
  - Pixel influence drops off with distance from the p

In 2D, equation (1) can be thought of as a spatial convolution:

$$\hat{f}_{\rho}(s) = K(I_{\rho} - s) * W$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

- *K* determines the frequency content of  $\hat{f}_{\rho}(s)$  as a function of *s*.
- W determines the spatial frequency content.
- ► For W arbitrary kernel, the convolution can performed at O (log(n)) (for n neighborhood size) operations per output pixel using 2D FFT.
- If K,W are both Gaussian, the convolution can be done in constant time, independent of neighborhood size per pixel.

## **Histograms Properties - Modes**

- The mode is the value that appears most often in a set of data.
- Number of modes within a neighborhood:
  - Single peak or mode pixels in that neighborhood are members of the same population
  - Multiple modes neighborhood contains pixels from two or more distinct populations.
- We would like to identify the number of modes, their value, widths, percentages of the population within each mode.
- For the smoothed histogram, a mode is defined by  $\frac{\partial fs}{\partial s} = 0$ .

The smoothed local histogram as a convolution is given by (equation 2):

$$\hat{f}_{\mathcal{P}}\left(\boldsymbol{s}
ight)=\mathcal{K}\left(\boldsymbol{I}_{\mathcal{P}}-\boldsymbol{s}
ight)*\mathcal{W}$$

► W does not depend on s - the derivative of the histogram at pixel p:

$$D_{\rho}(s) = rac{\partial \hat{f}s}{\partial s} = -K'(I_{
ho} - s) * W$$

- ► *K* is low pass filter, therefor its derivative *K*′ is also band limited.
- We can sample  $D_p(s)$  at or above Nyquist frequency of K' without loss of information.
- Defining  $s_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le m$  a set of samples over the range of K', all histogram modes can be identified from the functions:

$$D_i(\boldsymbol{p}) = -\boldsymbol{K}' \left( \boldsymbol{I}_{\boldsymbol{p}} - \boldsymbol{s}_i \right) * \boldsymbol{W}$$
(3)

- ► The computation can be efficiently done by modern GPU hardware.
- ► Negative-going zero crossing in the function are the histogram modes.
- ► Positive-going zero crossing in the function are anti-modes.

# Method for Finding Histogram Modes

- For each *i*, create a look up table  $L_i$  which maps any intensity value  $I_p \mapsto K'(s_i I_p)$ .
- The input image is mapped through the look up table.
- ► The results are convolved with the spatial kernel W to get the function D<sub>i</sub>
- ▶ By increasing the sampling rate sufficiently, linear interpolation in *s* is accurate as desired.
- With sufficient sampling we can calculate the modes of  $\hat{t}_p$ :
  - At each point  $\boldsymbol{p}$  we look for negative-going zero crossing in  $D_i(\boldsymbol{p})$
  - ▶ if a zero crossing if found between  $D_i(p)$  and  $D_{i+1}(p)$  there's a mode located at:

$$s = s_i + rac{D_i\left(oldsymbol{p}
ight)}{D_i\left(oldsymbol{p}
ight) - D_{i+1}\left(oldsymbol{p}
ight)} \cdot \left(s_{i+1} - s_i
ight)$$

## **Histograms Properties**



Left: Look up table. Top Right: Raw histogram. Middle: Smoothed histogram. Bottom: Derivative of Smoothed Histogram

#### **Closest Mode Filter**

- Closest mode to be the mode one would reach by steepest ascent in the smoothed local histogram.
- ► Estimate *D*(*I<sub>p</sub>*), if the derivative is positive, use the rst mode greater than the pixel value, otherwise use the rst mode smaller than the pixel value.
- Greatly relies on the central pixel value.

Is it always the best choice?

► In the presence of low variance noise, the mode closest to each may not be the best choice.

#### Mean Filter

- Robust to noise in the extrema.
- ► Does not use the central pixel value to choose the dominant mode.
- ► The filter is implemented by look up tables and convolution at constant time.

## **Erosion and Dilation Filters**

- Modifying the erode and dilate operators to the 5% and 95% percentile modifies the results to the traditional operators.
- Robust against noise.
- In this case, unequal neighborhood weighing affects the results.



#### **Dominant Mode Filter**

- ► The median filter which uses 50% as a fixed point.
- It is possible to use a robust criterion to choose among the local modes.
- ► Using equation s = s<sub>i</sub> + D<sub>i</sub>(p) D<sub>i</sub>(p) - D<sub>i+1</sub>(p) · (s<sub>i+1</sub> - s<sub>i</sub>) we look for both negative and positive zero crossing - corresponding to modes and anti modes.
- Integrate between two anti-modes for each mode.
- Choose the mode with the largest integral between the two adjacent anti modes.
- ► The method produces sharper edges than the median for certain structures.

# Mode Filters - Side By Side



(a) Original With Noise



(b) Closest Mode



(c) Bilateral



(e) Median



(d) Channel Smoothing



(f) Dominant Mode

Smoothed Histogram Mode Filters

# Filters in Action - Detail Enhancement



# Filters in Action - Detail Enhancement



(a) Original.



(b) After multi-layer contrast boost.

#### Summary

- Local image histogram are an important tool in visual computing mean filter, erosion and dilation.
- Using smoothed histogram allows one to work with large neighborhoods in constant time, regardless of their size.
- The closest mode filter can be used to reduce noise in an image, but is not robust to low variance mode.
- Allows more robust implantation of the erosion and dilation filters.
- Dominant mode filter is both robust to low variance noise and allows edge sharpening.
- ► Other applications include detail layers extraction and detail enhancement.



 Dorin Comaniciu and Peter Meer. "Mean shift: A robust approach toward feature space analysis." IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Machine Intell, 24, 603-619, 2002.